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Abstract.

Using stringent criteria pertaining to rain cloud optical thickness and horizontal extent, 3203 multichannel microwave observations of heavy/widespread tropical precipitation over ocean were selected from 9 months of global Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) data. These observations were subsequently found to be associated almost exclusively with stratiform rain areas in tropical cyclones. Because of the restrictions on optical thickness and spatial extent, the mean multichannel microwave brightness temperatures and their interchannel covariances are presumed to be determined primarily by the vertical microphysical structure of the rain clouds.

The distribution of the above observations in 7-dimensional channel space is characterized concisely using principal component analysis (PCA). It is found that only three independent variables are sufficient to explain 97% of the variance in the correlation matrix. This result suggests that the radiometrically important microphysical properties of these rain clouds are rather strongly interdependent.

The most significant eigenvector of the observation correlation matrix corresponds to variable scattering at high frequencies by ice aloft. Its spectral dependence is accurately given by $\nu^{-1.76}$, where $\nu$ is the microwave frequency. This empirical result constrains the effective mean sizes of ice particles responsible for observed passive microwave scattering in rain clouds, as well as providing a plausible empirical basis for accurately predicting the magnitude of ice scattering effects at non-SSM/I microwave frequencies. There are also qualitative indications that this mode of brightness temperature variability is poorly correlated with surface rain rate in this study sample.

The empirical results presented herein are expected to be of value for the validation and improvement of microphysical assumptions and optical parameterizations in forward microwave radiative transfer models. Companion papers describe the actual retrieval of effective rain cloud microphysical properties from the observed multichannel radiances.

1. INTRODUCTION

The performance of physical model-based multichannel algorithms for the passive microwave retrieval of surface rain rate (Olson, 1989; Wilheit et al., 1991; Bauer and Schluessel, 1993; Petty, 1994a; Aonashi et al., 1996; Wentz and Spencer, 1998) and/or hydrometeor profiles (Smith et al., 1994; Evans et al., 1995; Kummerow et al., 1996; Marzano et al., 1995, 1999) depends in part on accurate forward models for the spectral and polarization dependence of cloud-top radiances on rain cloud properties. The accuracy of any forward model in turn depends critically on all three of the following factors:

1. realism in the specification of hydrometeor sizes, shapes, and phases encountered in real rain clouds and their 3-dimensional distribution;

2. the accurate specification of local optical properties (e.g., phase function, single scatter albedo, volume extinction coefficient) from knowledge of the above hydrometeor properties, and

3. the accuracy and generality of the radiative transfer code itself.

Errors or inappropriate approximations at any one of these three stages can lead to inconsistencies between predicted and observed multichannel signatures.
(Panegrossi et al., 1998). Such inconsistencies in forward models can in turn lead to incorrect rain rate or hydrometeor profile retrievals or, at best, a reduction in the usable information content of the multichannel observations.

There has recently been considerable progress in the development of non-plane-parallel and/or polarized radiative transfer codes and in the accommodation by some models of non-spherical particles (Kummerow and Weinman, 1988; Petty, 1994a; Roberti et al., 1994; Evans and Stephens, 1995b; Liu et al., 1996; Haferman et al., 1997; Roberti and Kummerow, 1994). Unfortunately, these improvements only address the third item and, to a limited extent, the second item in the above list. Globally, there are still far too few direct observations of the relevant hydrometeor properties (and their statistical variability) in various types of rain clouds. Even when the hydrometeor properties themselves are thought to be reasonably well known, the validity of common parameterizations of the microwave optical properties of, say, highly irregular and/or loosely aggregated snow flakes remains very much in doubt.

Variability in the N-channel (vector) observations of rain clouds by a microwave radiometer in space must be due to variability in the properties of the rain clouds themselves. Observations from a sufficiently large sample may be thought of as describing an N-dimensional volume (or manifold) in channel space. If forward model calculations yield a radiance vector falling outside the observation manifold, then this lack of agreement signals that either the model itself is flawed or else that specific environmental parameters (e.g., particle size, cloud depth, etc.) assumed in the forward model are statistically unrepresentative of the rain clouds from which the observations were derived. The spectral and polarization dependence of the disagreement may offer clues as to how the model assumptions can be adjusted to improve agreement.

Even if a model calculation falls inside the manifold defined by the observations, one can still not assert that the model is correct, only that it is not demonstrably in error. Nevertheless, even that modest improvement in confidence may be beneficial, especially if the requirement for physical consistency with actual observations significantly tightens the range of uncertainty on those model parameters whose values were previously speculative.

The objectives of this multipart study are thus fourfold: (1) to document the actual satellite microwave multichannel radiances, and their joint variability, associated with certain reasonably well-defined classes of precipitation; (2) to critically evaluate, and where appropriate revise, key assumptions about actual rain cloud microphysical properties as they relate to microwave radiative transfer; (3) to develop improved models and parameterizations of the interaction between microwave radiation and rain cloud constituents; (4) to assess the usable physical information content of multichannel microwave observations of real precipitation in view of the inherent variability or uncertainty of key rain cloud properties.

The present paper addresses the first objective above in the case of tropical oceanic rainfall observed by the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) and meeting minimum criteria for horizontal extent and optical depth. The data selection criteria employed herein are designed to reduce the role of surface emission and footprint filling in the observed brightness temperatures, leaving the microphysical structure of the rain clouds themselves as the foremost factor determining observed brightness temperatures.

Companion papers (Petty, 2001; Petty and Gautam, 2001) address the problem of physically interpreting the observed SSM/I multichannel radiances described herein and of finding cloud model parameters which lead to consistency between those observed radiances and the calculated values from a radiative transfer model.

Fig. 1. Idealized brightness temperature dependence on surface rain rate.
2. SSM/I Data

a. Instrument Description

The Special Sensor Microwave/Imager is a conically scanned radiometer which observes brightness temperatures at 19.35, 22.235, 37.0, and 85.5 GHz, in both vertical and horizontal polarizations (vertical only for 22.235 GHz). The viewing incidence angle is 53\degree from vertical. The spatial resolution of the SSM/I varies from 43×69 km at 19.35 GHz to 13×15 km at 85.5 GHz. The swath of SSM/I is about 1400 km. A detailed description of SSM/I can be found in Hollinger (1988).

b. Response to Precipitation

The ideal behavior of SSM/I brightness temperatures in response to horizontally uniform rain over the ocean is illustrated in Fig. 1. The behavior is similar for all frequencies except that the peak brightness temperatures increase with increasing rain intensity up to some maximum value, after which it decreases.

In addition to the information contained in the absolute $T_B$, there is information in the difference $\Delta T_B$ between vertically and horizontally polarized brightness temperatures at a given frequency, as this difference is largely a function of the visibility of the polarized emission of ocean surface through and between rain clouds. Among other things, polarization information may be used to eliminate the ambiguity associated with cold brightness temperatures, which may be due either to polarized emission from the unobscured ocean surface or to nearly unpolarized scattering from frozen precipitation aloft. See Petty (1994b) for a more detailed discussion of the interpretation of polarization information from passive microwave imagers.

The details of the $T_B$–$R$ curves in Fig. 1, such as the position and height of the peak and the magnitude of the $T_B$ depression in the scattering regime to the right of the peak, all depend strongly on the concentration, size, and density of ice particles aloft accompanying a given surface rain rate. Also, in contrast to the idealized case depicted in Fig. 1, the polarization difference at a given frequency may not vanish as the rain cloud becomes optically thick. Rather, scattering by both rain drops and ice particles viewed at an oblique angle can give rise to modest residual polarization differences. Differences of up to 2–3 K may be explained by spherical particles alone (Liu and Simmer, 1996; observed differences of up to 10 K or more frequently observed in stratiform rain clouds (Spencer et al., 1989; Heymsfield and Fulton, 1994) appear to depend on the presence of preferentially oriented nonspherical particles (Wu and Weinman, 1984; Turk and Vivekanandan, 1995; Evans and Stephens, 1995a).

Note that the ideal response of the SSM/I to rainfall as depicted in Fig. 1 assumes that the field of view (FOV) is completely filled with rain of uniform intensity. Given the coarse resolution of the SSM/I (see above), this assumption is difficult to satisfy in practice. The radiative consequences of rain cloud inhomogeneity within the FOV can be broken down into three components: (1) the effects of averaging a non-linear function of surface rain rate (e.g., the $T_B$–$R$ relationships in Fig. 1) over the 2-dimensional FOV – this is the classic “beam-filling” problem described by (e.g.) Wilheit (1986); (2) the effects of 3-D geometry on the physical transfer of microwave radiation through a rain cloud — e.g., “leakage” of upwelling thermal radiation out of the sides of a vertically developed cloud (Kummerow and Weinman, 1988; Roberti et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996; Haferman et al., 1997); and (3) the geometric effects occasioned by viewing 3-
dimensional structures at an oblique angle (53° from nadir for the SSM/I) over a reflective surface, which leads to significant contributions from the both sides and surface reflections of vertically developed clouds to the observed radiances (Petty, 1994b; Liu et al., 1996). Inasmuch as the actual 3-D structure of a rain cloud observed by satellite is rarely known in any given case, all of these effects, and particularly (1) and (3), are important sources of ambiguity in the physical interpretation of microwave $T_B$, whether for the estimation of surface rainfall or the inference of microphysical properties.

Since the objective of this paper is to isolate and interpret something approximating the “pure” microwave signature of rainfall — i.e., brightness temperatures which can be reasonably compared with the output of plane-parallel radiative transfer models without regard to surface variables and other extraneous influences — the next subsection describes the procedure for identifying SSM/I observations of rainfall which is (1) heavy and therefore nearly opaque even at 19.35 GHz, and (2) spatially extensive, and therefore less susceptible to brightness temperature variations due to beam-filling and 3-D geometric effects.

c. Sample Selection

For the nine-month period April–December 1992, the data sample is defined by over-water pixels from the F-11 SSM/I meeting the criterion $T_{22V} - T_{19V} < 5$ K. In a tropical or temperate environment, where the signature of water vapor normally gives rise to a large difference between these two channels, this criterion is only fulfilled for FOVs which are almost entirely filled with precipitation exceeding $\sim 5$ mm h$^{-1}$. By further restricting the sample to contiguous groups of no less than 50 pixels satisfying the above criterion, it becomes likely that most of these pixels represent rainfall which is not only heavy but spatially very extensive and thus, presumably, comparatively uniform and free of strong 3-D structure within each FOV. These characteristics are desirable for the purpose of comparing observed and theoretically predicted multichannel signatures of heavy rainfall.

The final sample consisted of a total of 3203 pixels representing 46 contiguous clusters of 50 or more pixels (Fig. 2). That nine months of global SSM/I data yielded a sample this small — representing an estimated 0.03% of the total over-water pixels affected by precipitation in some form — is an indication of how rarely heavy precipitation is found over such a large contiguous area. An unanticipated byproduct of the stringent selection criteria was that most of the 46 cases were found to be associated with organized tropical cyclones, many possessing an identifiable “eye” in the SSM/I imagery (Fig. 3). All results presented in this paper are therefore applicable primarily to this type of precipitation.

Figure 4 depicts scatter plots of the complete data set for selected combinations of channels and channel differences. In these plots, the channel difference $T_{22V} - T_{19V}$ is taken as a crude measure of the optical density of the rain cloud, since for increasing opacity, differential emission due to water vapor, which normally gives rise to a large positive difference, is increasingly masked by differential emission/extinction of opposite sign due to hydrometeors. The upper limit of 5 K is of course a reflection of the selection criteria. The lower limit of approximately $-5$ K, on the other hand, is determined by the optical properties of the most heavily raining scenes in the sample.

The polarization difference $T_{22V} - T_{19V}$ at 19.35 GHz gives similar information about cloud opacity, since most of the difference at lower frequencies is due to residual visibility of polarized ocean surface emission through the rain layer. At 37 and 85.5 GHz, the clouds are effectively opaque, hence any residual polarization difference must be due primarily to polarization-dependent scattering and extinction in the rain clouds themselves, due in part to presence of preferentially oriented nonspherical particles. At 37 GHz, the mean residual polarization is 3.3 K; at 85.5 GHz it increases to a mean of 7.6 K, with a few instances as large as 15 K. In a few very rare cases, a negative polarization difference of up to 2 K is observed at 85.5 GHz. No negative polarizations are observed at the lower frequencies; indeed, a positive difference of approximately 1 K appears to represent a hard lower bound on the 37 GHz polarization difference.

In the bottom row of scatter plots, the vertical and horizontal polarizations are plotted against each other for each frequency. The interpretation of these plots follows Spencer (1986), Spencer et al. (1989), and Petty (1994b). At 19.35 GHz, the dominant source of brightness temperature variability lies in the more or less linear transition between colder, somewhat polarized scenes in which ocean surface emissivity is still visible and the warm, nearly unpolarized $T_B$ expected from a nearly opaque rain cloud. At this low frequency, there is little unambiguous evidence for scattering by ice or large raindrops, whose
signal would be manifested in nearly unpolarized but measurably depressed brightness temperatures relative to the cloud’s effective thermodynamic temperature (260–280 K). On the contrary, in the most weakly polarized cases (nearest the diagonal line), which also correspond to the heaviest rainfall, 19.35 GHz brightness temperatures range from approximately 270 K to as warm as 277 K. These values are all warmer than any point on the 19 GHz emission curves in Fig. 1, implying that the simple model used to generate those curves (and many models like it) overestimates the importance of scattering by ice in this type of rainfall.

For the two higher frequencies, the role of scattering is much more clearly evident. At 37 GHz, minimum brightness temperatures near 230 K are observed, though only a very small percentage of pixels fall significantly below 250 K. Moreover, there is a slight tendency for the average polarization difference to increase with increasing scattering — up to 5 K for the coldest brightness temperatures, as compared with approximately 3 K for the sample mean.

At 85.5 GHz, minimum brightness temperatures tend to fall near 160 K, though approximately 10 pixels are colder still, with a minimum near 115 K. Interestingly, most very warm and very cold brightness temperatures tend to be completely unpolarized, while intermediate values exhibit a mean polarization difference near 8 K. A similar tendency has also been noted by Heymsfield and Fulton (1994), who suggested that larger polarizations may be due to preferentially oriented snow crystals found in stratiform clouds, as contrasted with the tumbling, irregular graupel particles expected in the more strongly convective clouds that produce strong scattering.

Of particular note for 85.5 GHz are a few pixels with brightness temperatures as warm as 274 K, despite the fairly heavy rain rates implied by the other channels. Inspection of the relevant images in these cases failed to reveal any obvious data errors. It is our interpretation that these correspond to moderate rainfall from clouds lacking a significant ice phase. One must then also postulate an overlying heavy layer of warm, non-precipitating cloud liquid water, both to mask the expected scattering due to raindrops alone and to support the production (via collision-coalescence) of moderate to heavy rainfall in the first place.

3. Empirical Multichannel Signatures

a. Principal Component Analysis

The relative homogeneity – both meteorological and spatial – of the above SSM/I observations of precipitation presents an opportunity to quantify the empirical multichannel signature of nearly “pure” precipitating scenes under fairly reproducible conditions and without the usual complications of incomplete beam-filling and other extraneous factors. If the statistics of the multichannel brightness temperatures can be summarized in a form that can be directly com-
Fig. 4. Observed SSM/I brightness temperatures from widespread, moderate to heavy tropical rainfall. Top row (a–c) depicts vertically polarized brightness temperatures versus $T_{22V} - T_{19V}$. Middle row (d–f) depicts polarization differences $T_V - T_H$ versus $T_{22V} - T_{19V}$. Bottom row (g–i) depicts $T_V$ versus $T_H$; diagonal line indicates polarization difference of zero. From left to right, columns present results for 19.35, 37, and 85.5 GHz, respectively. In all panels, solid lines represent projections of the first three eigenvectors in Table 1. For clarity, the magnitudes of these vectors have been multiplied by a factor of two.
pared with models, then these results can be used to identify, and possibly correct, interchannel inconsistencies resulting from inappropriate microphysical or radiative assumptions.

Each SSM/I multichannel observation can be viewed as a point (or vector) in 7-dimensional channel space. The complete brightness temperature data set describes a cloud of such points. To first order, the cloud resembles a hyperellipsoid. The centroid of the ellipsoid is the vector mean of the observations. The size, shape, and orientation of the ellipsoid are then described by the $7 \times 7$ covariance matrix. Because each channel has a non-zero response to certain properties of the scene being viewed, changes in those properties give rise to highly correlated changes in all channels simultaneously. Physical information is thus spread out over several channels and no single channel is uniquely identified with one particular physical signal.

If one or more channels happened to be linearly dependent on the remaining channels, then the hyperellipsoid would collapse to a lower-dimensional volume, indicating that the seven-channel microwave observations have fewer than seven real degrees of freedom, a finding that would have important implications for the retrievable information content of the observations. Unfortunately, this kind of degeneracy cannot be readily detected by inspection of the raw covariance matrix or of 2-D scatter plots of various pairs of channels.

A common technique for identifying and characterizing the underlying lower-order dimensionality of a high-dimensional data set is Principal Component Analysis (PCA), which entails the computation of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the covariance matrix. For problems like the present one, this procedure has the following benefits:

- The eigenvectors indicate the orientation of the principal axes of the covariance ellipsoid in channel space and thus define a rotated coordinate system in which all 7 variables describing an observation vector are both linearly independent (orthogonal in channel space) and statistically uncorrelated.
- The eigenvalues give the variance of the data set along each of the new coordinate axes. The eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue describes the mode of maximum joint variability between channels. Once the eigenvector/eigenvalue decomposition of a covariance matrix is determined, each original observation may be expressed as a linear combination of the eigenvectors, where the linear coefficients (principal components) are obtained by taking the scalar product of the observation vector and the respective eigenvector.
- If the same linear decomposition is applied to simulated data from a radiative transfer model, then the occurrence of unexpectedly large values of lower-order principal components may reveal shortcomings in the microphysical or microwave optical assumptions in the model.
- It may prove possible to interpret each eigenvector in terms of a specific mode of microphysical variability in rain clouds. If so, then since each principal component is statistically uncorrelated with the others, the physical variability responsible for multichannel $T_B$ variability might, to first order, be understood in terms of a linear superposition of several quasi-independent modes of physical variability.

The remainder of this paper describes the results of PCA applied to the study sample and suggests qualitative physical interpretations. Some minor embellishments of conventional PCA will be noted as they arise.

b. Application to the Study Sample

Means and standard deviations $\sigma$ were computed separately for each of the 7 SSM/I channels and these were used to scale each channel’s brightness temperatures to zero mean and unit variance. The $7 \times 7$ correlation matrix was then computed, from which 7 eigenvectors and the corresponding eigenvalues were determined.

The use here of the correlation matrix rather than the covariance matrix ensures that the results indicate the relative importance of the various modes of brightness temperature variability in terms of information content rather than in terms of the absolute magnitude of the brightness temperature variation. Otherwise, a single environmental variable that gave rise to unusually large brightness temperature fluctuations in one or more channels could dominate the total variance of the sample and obscure physically significant joint variations of small absolute magnitude.

The elements of the resulting eigenvectors were remultiplied by the standard deviations in the sec-
Table 1. Statistical properties of the SSM/I pixels in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>( \langle T_B \rangle ) (K)</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (K)</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_1 )</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_2 )</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_3 )</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_4 )</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_5 )</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_6 )</th>
<th>( \hat{E}_7 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_{19V} )</td>
<td>271.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>-2.77</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{19H} )</td>
<td>265.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>-4.69</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{22V} )</td>
<td>272.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{37V} )</td>
<td>261.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{37H} )</td>
<td>257.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{85V} )</td>
<td>222.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{85H} )</td>
<td>214.9</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>15.34</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variance explained in correlation matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance explained in correlation matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59.7% 30.4% 7.0% 2.2% 0.4% 0.2% 0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cumulative variance explained in correlation matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative variance explained in correlation matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59.7% 90.1% 97.1% 99.3% 99.7% 99.9% 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Qualitative interpretation of eigenvectors.

| \( \hat{E}_1 \) | Variations in overall intensity of scattering, uncorrelated with near-surface precipitation |
| \( \hat{E}_2 \) | Intensity of near-surface precipitation |
| \( \hat{E}_3 \) | Anomalous 85.5 GHz scattering with respect to near-surface precipitation |
| \( \hat{E}_4 \) | Anomalous scattering at 85.5 GHz with respect to scattering at 37 GHz |
| \( \hat{E}_5 \) | Anomalous 19 GHz polarization with respect to 19 GHz \( T_B \) |
| \( \hat{E}_6 \) | Oriented scatterers affecting both 37 and 85.5 GHz polarization |
| \( \hat{E}_7 \) | Oriented scatterers affecting 85.5 GHz polarization only |
ond column of Table 1 so as to yield physical units (K) and then renormalized so that the magnitude of the eigenvector elements gives the channel brightness temperature standard deviation associated with that eigenvector (i.e., summing the eigenvector elements in quadrature for a given channel yields the total standard deviation for that channel). Thus, the renormalized eigenvectors describe the characteristic direction and magnitude (in brightness channel space) of the component of variability in the observations associated with that eigenvector.

The complete set of rescaled eigenvectors is given in Table 1. Projections of the first three eigenvectors (multiplied by two) are superimposed on the scatter plots described previously (Fig. 4).

c. Qualitative Interpretation

The first eigenvector \( \hat{E}_1 \), explaining 60% of the total variance in the correlation matrix, is essentially unpolarized and its elements increase monotonically with frequency. At 85.5 GHz, this eigenvector contributes variations of typically 17 K in the observed brightness temperature. Because the rain clouds observed in this sample are known to be optically thick at this frequency, there is little doubt that the first eigenvector is associated with variations in the so-called scattering signature of ice particles in the upper layers of the rain clouds. Fig. 5 shows that the elements of this eigenvector describe a nearly perfect straight line in a log-log plot versus frequency \( \nu \), implying an accurate power-law relationship proportional to \( \nu^{1.76} \). This spectral dependence turns out to strongly constrain the effective mean sizes of the ice particles responsible for the scattering. The quantitative interpretation of the present empirical results is the subject of Part 3 of this study (Petty and Gautam, 2001).

The second eigenvector \( \hat{E}_2 \) contains most of the variability in the 19 GHz polarization difference and in \( T_{22V} - T_{19V} \). It is therefore apparently a measure of the residual FOV-averaged transmittance at the lowest frequencies. Since this transmittance is most strongly influenced by rain intensity below the freezing level (in the case of uniform rain layer depth and complete FOV-filling), we tentatively interpret this eigenvector as an indicator of the surface rain intensity. Model simulations described in a companion paper (Petty and Gautam, 2001) corroborate this interpretation. Although a significant component of unpolarized variability in the 85.5 GHz channels is associated with \( \hat{E}_2 \), the latter’s contribution is significantly smaller than that due to \( \hat{E}_1 \), suggesting that 85.5 GHz scattering is a rather poor proxy for surface rain rate in the present data set.

The third eigenvector \( \hat{E}_3 \) is typically responsible for brightness temperature variations of \( \lesssim 1 \) K for all channels except at 85.5 GHz, where it adds another \( \sim 5 \) K of variability. \( \hat{E}_3 \) therefore represents significant variations in brightness temperature at this frequency which are uncorrelated with variations at lower frequencies.

The fourth through seventh eigenvectors contribute relatively little to the total brightness temperature variability. Also, because they are constrained to be orthogonal to each other and to the three most significant eigenvectors, there is no reason to expect that they will have unambiguous physical interpretations. Nevertheless, their qualitative role in the multichannel signature of the rain events analyzed here are summarized in Table 2.

Together, \( \hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_2, \) and \( \hat{E}_3 \) explain 97.1% of the total variance in the correlation matrix. It follows that three statistically independent variables are sufficient to explain all but the most subtle multichannel brightness temperature variations in the present sample. That is,

\[
\hat{T} = c_1 \cdot \hat{E}_1 + c_2 \cdot \hat{E}_2 + c_3 \cdot \hat{E}_3 + \langle T_B \rangle \quad (1)
\]
where the coefficients $c_i$ are uncorrelated and have unit variance. The ability to describe the joint variability of the SSM/I's seven channels in such a concise form (for the type of rainfall represented in the study sample) is important with respect to several of the objectives of this study:

- One may seek to identify specific perturbations of the mean environmental state which are responsible for the $T_B$ variations described by each of the first three eigenvectors. If this proves possible, then the coefficients $c_x$ derived from any given observation vector are rough measures (exact, in the case of a linear response) of the sign and relative magnitude of the corresponding perturbation.

- One may further identify environmental states which are statistically or physically unrealistic (relative to the study sample), to the extent that these lead to brightness temperature variations which are inconsistent with (1).

- One may identify defects in the forward radiative transfer model itself if, for example, one is unable to find any combination of input parameters which produces brightness temperatures satisfying (1).

These applications of (1) will be taken up in the companion papers.

In Fig. 6, the values of the coefficients $c_i$ are plotted as images for a few representative rain events taken from the study sample. These images show several interesting features. First, there is considerable spatial coherence to the values within a given rain event, especially for $c_1$ and $c_2$. This suggests that the corresponding eigenvectors are indeed associated with mesoscale (as opposed to pixel scale) properties of the rain clouds and that the rain cloud properties are indeed fairly uniform within individual pixels, as required in order for a reasonably unambiguous physical interpretation to be possible.

Second, there is little correlation between the values of the coefficients $c_i$, either within individual rain events or when considering variations between events. This confirms that each principal component is highlighting a different physical characteristic of the rain cloud. The quantitative physical interpretation of the different principal components is taken up in a companion paper (Petty and Gautam, 2001).

Third, there are cases for which the overall value of one of the coefficients is anomalously low or high for
an entire rain event, while the other coefficients may fall in their normal range. From this we conclude that the microphysical properties responsible for the coefficients in question may be governed in part by the larger scale environment or perhaps by the point that the entire rain event has reached in its overall life cycle.

4. Conclusions

This paper provides the first concise statistical characterization of cloud-top multichannel SSM/I radiances in relatively heavy, horizontally extensive rainfall over the tropical oceans. The large majority of cases in the study sample are apparently associated with widespread heavy rain in tropical cyclones. The required spatial extent of the rainfall reduces the influence of incomplete beamfilling on the observed brightness temperatures, and the relatively high optical thickness of the rain events reduces the influence of the ocean surface. Both characteristics are highly desirable when the objective is to isolate the influence of cloud microphysical properties alone based on observed multichannel radiances.

The rain clouds sampled here are presumed to be microphysically similar in many respects to the stratiform tropical clouds observed by McGaughey and Zipser (1996) using an airborne microwave radiometer. That study had the advantage of higher resolution passive microwave data supplemented by radar and other cloud physical measurements but was limited to only two events, each of comparatively light surface rain rate. The present study has a much larger statistical sample and more microwave channels to work with (including polarization diversity), but lacks independent measurements of cloud properties. Thus, the information provided by both types of studies is complementary. This complementarity can and should be exploited when validating and improving cloud microphysical and microwave optical assumptions in forward models.

Findings of particular note include the following:

- In the relatively heavy tropical stratiform rainfall considered in this study, the correlation between the ice scattering signature at high frequencies, as represented in particular by the first eigenvector $\hat{E}_1$, and indications of near surface rain intensity at lower frequencies, appears to be weak.

- The eigenvector $\hat{E}_1$, which appears to contain most of the spectral dependence of scattering-induced brightness temperature depressions due to ice, is remarkably well-described by a power-law relationship proportional to $\nu^{1.76}$. This observed spectral dependence of ice scattering is expected to impose a strong constraint on the effective mean sizes of the ice particles responsible for the scattering and can therefore be exploited to improve forward models. Furthermore, this empirical relationship can potentially be used to interpolate or extrapolate the magnitude of the SSM/I response to scattering to frequencies observed by other microwave sensors.

The quality of any theoretical model for predicting SSM/I brightness temperatures from relatively heavy stratiform tropical rainfall can be gauged in part by its consistency with the simple statistical model given by (1). In Part 2 of this study (Petty, 2001), a new parametric stratiform cloud model is presented which provides a foundation for further investigations of the relationship between cloud microphysical properties and microwave radiances. In Part 3 (Petty and Gautam, 2001), brightness temperature vectors characteristic of the empirical data described herein are physically inverted in order to find model clouds which can explain the mean observed brightness temperatures $\langle \vec{T}_B \rangle$ and variations corresponding to $\hat{E}_1$, $\hat{E}_2$, and $\hat{E}_3$.

Once the model has been empirically adjusted to yield observed microwave radiances consistent with (1) at SSM/I frequencies, the model can act as a physical bridge between the empirical SSM/I brightness temperatures described in this paper and those to be expected at the frequencies and viewing angles of other microwave sensors, such as the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU), the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Microwave Imager (TMI), and the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer (AMSR).
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